

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,994		12/03/2001	Bryce A. Jones	1644	9230	
28005	7590	11/15/2006		EXAMINER		
SPRINT					OSMAN, RAMY M	
6391 SPRI	NT PARK'	WAY				
KSOPHT0	KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLA?	ND PARK,	KS 66251-2100	2157			

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/004,994	JONES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ramy M. Osman	2157				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachman	Me)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This communication is responsive to amendment filed August 24, 2006, where applicant amended claims 1,3,12,13,17,21-24. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 8/24/2006, with respect to claims 1-24 have been fully considered and are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues that "Bahl does not teach its global authentication database sending an authentication response that includes both (i) information authenticating a subscriber and (ii) a service qualification for the subscribe as recited in applicants claims".

In reply, applicants claims broadly state "receiving a first indication that the first subscriber has been authenticated". Bahl teaches this limitation because when the client is authenticated, the client receives a key which is an indication that the client has been authenticated (column 13 lines 20-45 & 65-67).

Furthermore, the claims broadly state "assigning the first subscriber to operate in a first logical layer of the access network according to a first service qualification received in the first indication, wherein the first service qualification indicates at least one of (i) one or more types of services authorized for the first subscriber and (ii) one or more extents of service authorized for the first subscriber". Bahl teaches this limitation because the client will then operate in a wireless subnet in accordance to the received key (column 9 lines 45-65 and column 13 lines 20-45 & 65-67). When the PANS server receives the key, the PANS server then knows

Art Unit: 2157

from the Policy manager the type(s) of service level(s) applicable to the particular client (column 10 lines 30-65 and column 13 line 65 – column 14 line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-7,10-16 and 20-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bahl et al (Patent No 6,834,341).
- 6. In reference to claims 1,12,13,21 and 23, Bahl teaches corresponding methods and communication system comprising:

allowing a first subscriber to operate on an access network; allowing a second subscriber to operate on the access network (column 9 lines 30-60)

receiving a first indication that the first subscriber has been authenticated by a first service provider, and responsively assigning the first subscriber to operate in a first logical layer of the access network according to a first service qualification received in the first indication, wherein the first service qualification indicates at least one of (i) one or more types of services authorized for the first subscriber and (ii) one or more extents of service authorized for the first subscriber (column 10 lines 30-65, column 13 lines 20-45 and column 13 line 65 – column 14 line 10);

Art Unit: 2157

receiving a second indication that the second subscriber has been authenticated by a second service provider, and responsively assigning the second subscriber to operate in a second logical layer of the access network according to a second service qualification received in the second indication, wherein the second service qualification indicates at least one f (i) one or more types of services authorized for the second subscriber and (ii) one or more extents of service authorized for the second subscriber (column 10 lines 30-65, column 13 lines 20-45 and column 13 line 65 – column 14 line 10);

handling communications in the first logical layer according to a first logic set (column 13 lines 15-60); and

handling communications in the second logical layer according to a second logic set different than the first logic set (column 2 line 55 – column 3 line 35 and column 11 line 55 – column 12 line 50).

- 7. In reference to claim 2, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

 before receiving the first indication, assigning the first subscriber to operate in a default logical layer of the access network; and handling communications in the default logical layer according to a default logic set different than the first logic set (column 6 line 60 column 7 line 10).
- 8. In reference to claim 3, Bahl teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the access network is an IP network, and wherein:

the first logical layer comprises a first IP subnet; the second logical layer comprises a second IP subnet; and the default logical layer comprise a default IP subnet (column 2 line 60 – column 3 line 10).

Art Unit: 2157

9. In reference to claim 4, Bahl teaches the method of claim 2, wherein handling communications according to the default logic set comprises disallowing a certain type of communication and handling communications according to the first logic set comprises allowing the certain type of communication (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10).

10. In reference to claim 6, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein handling communications in the first logical layer according to the first logic set comprises:

disallowing communications from the first logical layer to outside of the access network (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10).

11. In reference to claim 7, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein handling communications in the first logical layer according to the first logic set comprises:

disallowing a predetermined type of communication from passing from the first logical layer to outside of the access network (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10).

12. In reference to claim 10, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the access network is an IP network, and wherein:

the first logical layer comprises a first IP subnet; and the second logical layer comprises a second IP subnet (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10).

- 13. In reference to claim 11, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the subscriber communicates via an air interface with the access network (column 2 lines 45-67).
- 14. In reference to claim 14, Bahl teaches the access network is an IP network and the designated layer is an IP subnet, and wherein assigning the subscriber to operate in the designated layer comprises assigning to the subscriber an IP address in the IP subnet (column 6 line 60 column 7 line 10).

- 15. In reference to claim 15, Bahl teaches wherein serving the subscriber in the designated layer comprises handling communications with the subscriber according to a logic set established for the designated layer (column 6 line 60 column 7 line 10).
- 16. In reference to claim 16, Bahl teaches wherein handling communications with the subscriber according to the logic set established for the designated layer comprises:

detecting a packet bearing the IP address assigned to the subscriber; and responsively applying the logic set to restrict transmission of the packet (column 7).

- 17. In reference to claim 20, Bahl teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the access network comprises a wireless access (column 2 lines 45-67).
- 18. In reference to claims 22 and 24, Bahl teaches the method of claim 20, further comprising:

prompting a second client station to select a service provider from among a plurality of service providers, and receiving a signal from the second client station, indicating a second selected service provider (column 9 lines 30-60);

sending a second authentication request message for the second client station to the second selected service provider, the second authentication request message indicating authentication information for the second client station (column 2 line 55 – column 3 line 35 and column 11 line 55 – column 12 line 50);

receiving a first authentication response message from the second selected service provider, the first authentication response message indicating that second client station is authenticated by the first selected service provider (column 2 line 55 – column 3 line 35 and column 11 line 55 – column 12 line 50); and

in response to the second authentication response message, restricting the client station to communications in a second logical layer of the access network associated with the second selected service provider (column 2 line 55 – column 3 line 35 and column 11 line 55 – column 12 line 50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahl et al (Patent No 6,834,341) in view of AAPA (Applicant Admitted Prior Art).

Bahl teaches the method of claim 4 above. Bahl fails to explicitly teach wherein the communication comprises a SIP communication. However, Applicant has admitted to SIP communication being well-known in the art. It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bahl by making the communication comprise a SIP communication because SIP is a publicly standardized communication protocol.

- 21. Claims 8,9 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahl et al (US Patent No 6,834,341) in view of Cottingham (US Patent No 6,339,761).
- 22. In reference to claim 8, Bahl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein handling communications in the first logical layer according to the first logic set comprises:

Art Unit: 2157

detecting a web page being sent to an address on the first logical layer (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10). Bahl fails to explicitly teach injecting into the web page information specific to the first service provider. However, Cottingham teaches an Internet Service Provider advertising system wherein is disclosed inserting advertisements into provided web pages so that the ISP can target customers for business (column 2 lines 20-67 and column 5 lines 33-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bahl by injecting into the web page information specific to the first service provider as per the teachings of Cottingham so that the ISP can target customers for business.

- 23. In reference to claim 9, Bahl in view of Cottingham teach the method of claim 8, wherein the information comprises an advertisement for the first service provider (Cottingham, column 2 lines 20-67 and column 5 lines 33-67).
- 24. In reference to claim 17, Bahl teaches the method of claim 13, wherein serving the subscriber in the designated layer of the access network comprises:

a gateway on the access network detecting a web page being sent to the subscriber (column 6 line 60 – column 7 line 10). Bahl fails to explicitly teach the gateway modifying the web page to include an advertisement for the designated service provider. However, Cottingham teaches an Internet Service Provider advertising system wherein is disclosed inserting advertisements into provided web pages so that the ISP can target customers for business (column 2 lines 20-67 and column 5 lines 33-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bahl by injecting into the web page information specific to the first service provider as per the teachings of Cottingham so that the ISP can target customers for business.

Art Unit: 2157

Page 9

25. Claims 18-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahl et al (US Patent No 6,834,341) in view of Schmuelling et al (US Patent No. 6,603,758).

26. In reference to claim 18, Bahl teaches the method of claim 13. Bahl fails to explicitly teach prompting the subscriber to provide the authentication request. However, Schmuelling teaches providing multiple service providers on a single network. Schmuelling discloses prompting the user to provide registration information to allow access through a service provider (Summary and column 7 lines 22-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bahl by prompting the subscriber to provide the authentication request as per the teachings of Schmuelling for the purpose of allowing users to select a service provider from among a plurality of service providers on a single network.

27. In reference to claim 19, Bahl teaches the method of claim 18. Bahl fails to explicitly teach wherein prompting the subscriber for the authentication request comprises: presenting to the subscriber a set of the plurality of service providers; and prompting the subscriber to select a service provider from among the plurality presented, wherein the subscriber selects the designated service provider from among the plurality. However, Schmuelling teaches providing multiple service providers on a single network. Schmuelling discloses prompting the user to provide registration information and for selecting a service provider from a among a plurality of service providers to allow access to the network (Summary and column 7 lines 22-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bahl by presenting to the subscriber a set of the plurality of service providers; and prompting the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,994 Page 10

Art Unit: 2157

subscriber to select a service provider from among the plurality presented, wherein the subscriber selects the designated service provider from among the plurality as per the teachings of Schmuelling for the purpose of allowing users to select a service provider from among a plurality of service providers on a single network.

Conclusion

- 28. Applicant is advised that the above specified citations of the relied upon prior art are only representative of the teachings of the prior art, and that any other supportive sections within the entirety of the reference (including any figures, incorporation by references, and claims) is implied as being applied to teach the scope of the claims.
- 29. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2157

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M. Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RMO

November 1, 2006

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100